



Universal Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/343,958
Filing Date	June 30, 1999
First Named Inventor	Serge Jean Maurice Mister
Art Unit	2132
Examiner Name	Kambiz Zand
Attorney Docket Number	0500.9904131

## ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): -return postcard
<input type="checkbox"/> Remarks		

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	Christopher J. Reckamp		
Date	February 23, 2005	Reg. No.	34,414

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Christine A. Wright	Date	February 23, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



AF  
2132  
PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Serge Jean Maurice Mister  
Serial No.: 09/343,958  
Filing Date: June 30, 1999  
Confirmation No.: 8512

Examiner: Kambiz Zand  
Art Group: 2132  
Docket No.: 0500.9904131  
Our File No.: 10500.99.4131

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PREVENTING INTERCEPTION OF  
INPUT DATA TO A SOFTWARE APPLICATION**

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Certificate of First Class Mailing*  
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the  
United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an  
envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for  
Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on  
this date.

2/23/05  
Date

  
Christine A. Wright

**AFTER FINAL RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 23, 2004, Applicant submits the following remarks.

**REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 10-15 and 24-29 are allowed and that claims 6, 8, 21, 23, 35 and 37 would be allowable if rewritten to include limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Remaining claims 1-5, 7, 9, 16-20, 22, 30-34 and 36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Fadem et al. in view of Young et al. In the "Response to Arguments" section of the final action, the Examiner states that Applicant's previous arguments were not persuasive because "the features upon which Applicant relies are not cited" in the rejected claims. However, the office action cites "insertion of fake data" as the feature upon which Applicant relies to overcome the references. It appears that the Examiner